Numbering Council (NANC) as set forth in the report to the Commission prepared by the NANC's Local Number Portability Administration Selection Working Group, dated April 25, 1997 (*Working Group Report*) and its appendices, which are incorporated by reference pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. *Except that:* Section 7.10 of Appendix D of the *Working Group Report* is *not* incorporated herein.

(b) In addition to the requirements set forth in the *Working Group Report*, the following requirements are established:

(1) If a telecommunictions carrier transmits a telephone call to a local exchange carrier's switch that contains any ported numbers, and the telecommunications carrier has failed to perform a database query to determine if the telephone number has been ported to another local exchange carrier, the local exchange carrier may block the unqueried call only if performing the database query is likely to impair network reliability;

(2) The regional limited liability companies (LLCs), already established by telecommunications carriers in each of the original Bell Operating Company regions, shall manage and oversee the local number portability administrators, subject to review by the NANC, but only on an interim basis, until the conclusion of a rulemaking to examine the issue of local number portability administrator oversight and management and the question of whether the LLCs should continue to act in this capacity; and

(3) The NANC shall provide ongoing oversight of number portability administration, including oversight of the regional LLCs, subject to Commission review. Parties shall attempt to resolve issues regarding number portability deployment among themselves and, if necessary, under the auspices of the NANC. If any party objects to the NANC's proposed resolution, the NANC shall issue a written report summarizing the positions of the parties and the basis for the recommendation adopted by the NANC. The NANC Chair shall submit its proposed resolution of the disputed issue to the Chief of the Wireline Competition Bureau as a recommendation for Commission review.

The Chief of the Wireline Competition Bureau will place the NANC's proposed resolution on public notice. Recommendations adopted by the NANC and forwarded to the Bureau may be implemented by the parties pending review of the recommendation. Within 90 days of the conclusion of the comment cycle, the Chief of the Wireline Competition Bureau may issue an order adopting, modifying, or rejecting the recommendation. If the Chief does not act within 90 days of the conclusion of the comment cycle, the recommendation will be deemed to have been adopted by the Bureau.

(c) The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the *Working Group Report* and its appendices can be obtained from the Commission's contract copier, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, and can be inspected during normal business hours at the following locations; Reference Information Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY—A257, Washington, D.C. 20554 or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.* The *Working Group Report* and its appendices are also available in the Internet at *http://www.fcc.gov/ccb/Nanc/.*

[62 FR 48786, Sept. 17, 1997, as amended at 65 FR 58466, Sept. 29, 2000; 67 FR 13226, Mar. 21, 2002; 69 FR 18803, Apr. 9, 2004]

§ 52.27 Deployment of transitional measures for number portability.

(a) All LECs shall provide transitional number portability measures, as defined in section 52.21(q) of this chapter, 47 CFR 52.21(q), as soon as reasonably possible upon receipt of a specific request from another telecommunications carrier, until such time as the LEC implements a long-term database method for number portability in that area.

(b) A LEC must provide the particular transitional number portability

measure requested by a telecommunications carrier, except as set forth in paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) A LEC that does not provide a requested transitional number portability measure must demonstrate that provision of the requested transitional number portability measure either is not technically feasible or if technically feasible, is unduly burdensome.

(1) Previous successful provision of a particular transitional number portability measure by any LEC constitutes substantial evidence that the particular method is technically feasible.

(2) In determining whether provision of a transitional number portability measure is unduly burdensome, relevant factors to consider are the extent of network upgrades needed to provide that particular method, the cost of such upgrades, the business needs of the requesting carrier, and the timetable for deployment of a long-term number portability method in that particular geographic location.

(d) LECs must discontinue using transitional number portability measures in areas where a long-term number portability method has been implemented.

[63 FR 68203, Dec. 10, 1998]

§ 52.29 Cost recovery for transitional measures for number portability.

Any cost recovery mechanism for the provision of number portability pursuant to § 52.7(a), that is adopted by a state commission must not:

(a) Give one telecommunications carrier an appreciable, incremental cost advantage over another telecommunications carrier, when competing for a specific subscriber (*i.e.*, the recovery mechanism may not have a disparate effect on the incremental costs of competing carriers seeking to serve the same customer); or

(b) Have a disparate effect on the ability of competing telecommunications carriers to earn a normal return on their investment.

§ 52.31 Deployment of long-term database methods for number portability by CMRS providers.

(a) By November 24, 2003, all covered CMRS providers must provide a long-

term database method for number portability, including the ability to support roaming, in the 100 largest MSAs, as defined in § 52.21(k), in compliance with the performance criteria set forth in section 52.23(a) of this part, in switches for which another carrier has made a specific request for the provision of number portability, subject to paragraph (a)(1) of this section. A licensee may have more than one CMRS system, but only the systems that satisfy the definition of covered CMRS are required to provide number portability.

(1) Any procedure to identify and request switches for development of number portability must comply with the following criteria:

(i) Any wireline carrier that is certified (or has applied for certification) to provide local exchange service in a state, or any licensed CMRS provider, must be permitted to make a request for deployment of number portability in that state;

(ii) Carries requesting deployment in the 100 largest MSAs by November 24, 2003 must submit requests by February 24, 2003.

(iii) A covered CMRS provider must make available upon request to any interested parties a list of its switches for which number portability has been requested and a list of its switches for which number portability has not been requested;

(iv) After November 24, 2003, a covered CMRS provider must deploy number portability in additional switches serving the 100 largest MSAs upon request within the following time frames:

(A) For remote switches supported by a host switch equipped for portability (''Equipped Remote Switches''), within 30 days;

(B) For switches that require software but not hardware changes to provide portability (''Hardware Capable Switches''), within 60 days;

(C) For switches that require hardware changes to provide portability (''Capable Switches Requiring Hardware''), within 180 days; and

(D) For switches not capable of portability that must be replaced (''Non-Capable Switches''), within 180 days.

(v) Carriers must be able to request deployment in any wireless switch that serves any area within the MSA, even